FUTURE FISHERIES IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

RANKING CRITERIA

BENEFITS A NATIVE FISH SPECIES (15 points maximum)

Project protects or enhances habitat for a native fish species with an emphasis on species of special concern.

OPTIMIZES PUBLIC BENEFITS TO WILD FISHERIES (25 points maximum)

- 1. Project will protect or enhance wild fish habitat by:
 - a. Restoration of river bed or lake bottom cover.
 - b. Restoration of river banks or lake shore.
 - c. Improving spawning or rearing habitat.
 - d. Improving fish passage.
 - e. Increasing bank, channel or shoreline cover.
 - f. Enhancing in-stream flows.
- 2. Project is expected to improve wild fish populations and fishing:
 - a. Greatly. Project is expected to measurably increase angler opportunity or angler success over a wide area (>2.0 mi.) of stream or in a large (>500 acres) lake or reservoir.
 - b. Moderately. Project is expected to measurably increase angler opportunity or angler success over a moderate area (>0.5 to 2.0 mi.) of stream or in a moderate sized (>200 to 500 acres) lake or reservoir.
 - c. Somewhat. Project is expected to measurably increase angler opportunity or angler success over a small area (0.5 mi.) of stream or in a small (<200 acres) lake or reservoir. (OR) Project is expected to increase angler opportunity or angler success but the benefits are unlikely to be measurable.
 - d. No increase.
- 3. Project will increase public fish opportunity for wild fish:
 - a. Public fish is allowed adjacent to the project.
 - b. Public fishing is not allowed but the project will benefit a fishery that the public has access to.
 - c. Public fish is not allowed and the public will not benefit from the project.

IMPORTANCE OF THE RIVER, STREAM OR LAKE (5 pts maximum - MFISH ratings)

- 1. Class I (highest value).
- 2. Class II (high priority).
- 3. Class III (substantial fishery).
- 4. Class IV (moderate fishery).
- 5. Class V (limited fishery).
- 6. Class VI (Not listed, but limited fishery).

LONG TERM EFFECTIVENESS (25 points maximum)

- 1. Project corrects the cause of the original problem.
- 2. Project partially corrects the cause of the original problem.
- 3. Project does not address the cause of the problem project becomes ineligible.

EXPECTED BENEFITS RELATIVE TO COST (15 point maximum)

- 1. Project benefits high relative to cost.
- 2. Project benefits about equal to cost.
- 3. Project costs exceed benefits project becomes ineligible.

COST SHARING OR IN-KIND SERVICES (15 point maximum)

Percent of project that will be funded from other revenue sources and/or in-kind services:

- 1. 50% or greater.
- 2. 25 to 49%.
- 3. Up to 24%.
- 4. Project relies entirely on Future Fisheries Improvement Program funding.